



Water quality modelling - Part 2 Pathogen simulation

Dr Michael Barry Emma McCall



Overview

Today we'll cover

- What are pathogens?
- Why are pathogens of interest?
- How do we model pathogens?
- Example model







What are pathogens?

Pathogens are

- Microbes (not all microbes are pathogens)
 - Viruses, bacteria, single and multi-cell eukaryotes
 - Beneficial and harmful
- Everywhere on Earth!
 - Estimated to be 10³¹ organisms
 - Ten billion times the number of stars in the universe
 - Each human carries 30 trillion







What are pathogens?

Pathogens are

- Some familiar examples
 - Measles, smallpox, zika, Ebola, SARS, COVID
- Some common aquatic environment examples
 - Cryptosporidium or "crypto" (not the currency!)
 - Escherichia coli or "E. coli"
 - Norovirus
 - Campylobacter







Pathogens are of interest because they

- Can cause human disease
- Can be fatal up to 20% of global deaths in 2019
- · Human and economic cost
 - US\$1bn annually

Our concern today

• Environmental waterborne pathogens







Common environmental sources

- Human waste
 - Sewers, combined sewers (CSOs) and onsite treatment systems
- Mammalian waste (agricultural and urban)

Common environmental receivers

- Freshwater creeks, streams, rivers and lakes
- Reservoirs (including water supply), natural and urban lakes
- Coastal oceans





Environmental pathways to humans

- Ingestion of contaminated drinking water
 - Water supply contamination
- "Primary contact" with contaminated water
 - e.g. swimming, bathing, diving, water skiing
- "Secondary contact" with contaminated water
 - e.g. boating, fishing, canoeing
- Consumption of contaminated food







Aquatic environmental

- · Look to numerical modelling
 - Risk elimination / minimisation
 - Public health
- QMRAs
 - Quantitative microbial risk assessment



Quantitative microbial risk assessment: Application for water safety management





On waterborne release, pathogens transform via

- Natural mortality
- Light inactivation
- Settling
- Growth
- Predation
- Attachment / detachment to sediment







On waterborne release, pathogens respond to

- Temperature
- Light (visible, UV-A, UV-B, infrared)
- Salinity
- Oxygen
- pH
- Organic material

Not pretending this is straightforward!







Inputs - concentrations

- Initial conditions
- Boundary inflows
 - Diffuse sources (e.g. catchment inflows)
 - Point sources (e.g. sewage outfalls)

Inputs - parameters

• Many!

! Pathogens1 pathogen model == `attached, `crypto1 > alive min max == `0.0, `le71 > mortality == `0.048, `0.0, `6.1, `l.0, `l.141 > visible inactivation == `0.01, `0.00667, `0.1 `1 > uva inactivation == `0.01, `0.00667, `0.1 `1 > uvb inactivation == `0.02, `0.00667, `0.1 `1 > settling == `-0.01, `-0.21 > target `attached `fraction `== `0.51 end `pathogen model1







Outputs - concentrations

- Transformation under environmental modification
- Concentrations are the result of multiple processes

Outputs - diagnostics (fluxes)

- Which processes dominate? Why?
- Ultimately seek understanding, not just concentrations

Lets look at an example







Large North American lake

- ~40 kilometres at widest
- ~10 metres at deepest
- ~1,200 km² area
- Bathymetry is grey
 - Darker is deeper
 - Lighter is shallower







Model

- TUFLOW FV
 - Hydrodynamics
 - Advection dispersion
 - 3D
 - Water quality







Model

- ~21,000 2D cells
- Developed by University of Windsor, Ontario
- Used with the kind permission of Dr Mohammad Madani







Environmental setting

- Receives pathogens from
 - Upstream inflows
 - Fringing catchments
- Sees some recreational use
 - Boating
 - Fishing
 - Water sports







Simulation

- ~1 month
- Examine pathogen concentrations
 - Surface alive (active)
 - Surface dead (inactive)
- Entirely hypothetical
 - Not a real case example only
- Included light and natural mortality









Qualitatively

- Alive pathogens do not persist
- Dead pathogens accumulate
- Long shore east to west transport
- But what process dominates
 - Natural mortality?
 - Light deactivation?





• ...?



Diagnostics (fluxes)

- Same ~1 month
- Examine fluxes
 - Light deactivation and natural mortality
- Surface contours of fluxes CFU / 100 mL /day on same colour scale
 - A positive flux is from alive to dead
- Not presenting concentrations









Qualitatively

- Light inactivation fluxes dominate
 - Obviously diurnal (night and day)
- Natural mortality less so

Quantitatively

- Light inactivation orders of magnitude greater than natural mortality
- Dominant flux pathway
 - Check and support parameterisation







What about settling?

- Set typical settling rate
 - Alive and dead pathogens
- No resuspension
- Compare with light inactivation
- Positive flux is from water column to bed









What about settling?

- Is not comparable in flux to light inactivation
- But more quantitatively
 - Use additional TUFLOW FV outputs
 - Total masses summed across the entire model domain in time















What have we learned?

- Light inactivation is clearly the dominant process in this system
- To promote understanding, we have used
 - TUFLOW FV water quality module diagnostics / fluxes
 - TUFLOW FV mass reporting
 - Multiple lines of evidence beyond trying to interpret concentrations
- Is a general technique for expediting water quality model calibration
 - Do not guess: understand!







Summary

Modelling environmental pathogens

- No simple task!
- Usually report concentrations (benchmarks)
- But: explore fluxes / diagnostics
 - Seek understanding
 - Why? To support What?
- Applies equally to large and small systems
 - Urban wetlands







Questions?

