Q&A: Advances in dam breach assessment

Question #	Question	Answer
	Can Dam breach for a well-defined dam be prevented by	
	adhering to best practices in project operation and	
1	maintenance?	That's why guidelines ask for credible failure mode assessment first
	Can Dam breach for a well-defined dam be prevented by	
	adhering to best practices in project operation and	What happens if the dam designer is unable to correctly assess the reservoir
2	maintenance?	sedimentation during the planning and design stage of the project?
	How do we determine the best dam breach equations to use?	
	There's 'Froelich 1995a', 'Forelich 2008', Von Thun and Gilette,	
	Xu and Zhang, 'MacDonald and Langridge – Monopolis (1984)'	
	We used Froelich equations for our studies as it was easier to	
3	understand for our project	live answered
	How do we determine the best dam breach equations to use?	
	How do we determine the best dam breach equations to use? There's 'Froelich 1995a', 'Forelich 2008', Von Thun and Gilette,	Monto Armi i boliovo wojekte the equations to get a 'best estimate' but with
	Xu and Zhang, 'MacDonald and Langridge – Monopolis (1984)'	Monte Azmi i believe weights the equations to get a 'best estimate' - but with
	Au and zhang, MacDonaid and Langhuge – Monopolis (1984)	the inherant uncertainty I'm not sure there is a 'best' option per se.
	We used Froelich equations for our studies as it was easier to	Often in Australia multiple options are run and weighted - or worst case
4	understand for our project	adopted subject to the application :)
4		
	How do we determine the best dam breach equations to use?	
	There's 'Froelich 1995a', 'Forelich 2008', Von Thun and Gilette,	
	Xu and Zhang, 'MacDonald and Langridge – Monopolis (1984)'	
_	We used Froelich equations for our studies as it was easier to	
5	understand for our project	Hi Ask, hope my response was a good answer to your question too
	Hi Dr Mayari, thanks for that. How much more 'accurate' is the	
	probabilistic model compared to empirical methods (ie is the	
6	larger effort and Monte Carlo runs worth it in practice?)	I think it's being answered by Monte Azmi :)
		Hydrology studies may be used to provide probabilities for overtopping
		failures.
	I would wish to get some clarification on how I can classify the	Earthquake and piping failure modes probabilities are assessed as part of
	probability failure modes while conducting Dam safety	separate analyses that require specialist input from seismologists and
7	studies??	geotech dams engineers respectively.
		In the downstream floodplain, the risk profile can chenge with increased
		development. When undertaking an assessment, the projected development
	How does changes to land-use plans in the catchment areas	over the future planning horizon should be included.
	impact the safety of the dams and appurtenant structures that	In such a case, the risk posed by the dam to the downstream community man
8	needs to be protected ?	vary over time.
		Sensitivity testing hydrological parameters becomes important for dams with
		low storage relative to catchment area. We very briefly investigated this with
	There is a belief by quite a few engineers that hydrological	Breach Hydro for two dams and updated the software to streamline this
	parameters such as inflow hydrographs storm durations are	process a little better.
	not as important as hydraulic parameters when it comes to	https://www.linkedin.com/feed/update/urn:li:activity:717524200294970572
	ultimate breach phenomena. Do the data and the modelling	8
9	runs approve this mentality?	My view is this should be tested more for regulated or high risk dams
	Is there research on the coincident probability on the timing of	
	breach hydrograph peak and the the timing of the	
	storm/hydrological hydrograph peak arriving at the	It may be a conservative assumption, but it's best practice here in Aus to
	embankment? Is there any historic data collected on this	assume the breach peak occures at the hydrograph peak. This may be
	information? This is often critical to the severity of the	reasonble depending on the failure mode (ie undercutting of embankment
10	impacts.	toe due to flooding causing the failure completion.
10		
	Is there research on the coincident probability on the timing of	
	breach hydrograph peak and the the timing of the	Frankling and the state of the state of the state
	storm/hydrological hydrograph peak arriving at the	For piping or even overtopping this might be a reasonable assumption too as
	embankment? Is there any historic data collected on this	it may also be reasonable to assume the maximum hydrostatic pressure
	information? This is often critical to the severity of the	would also occure during the hydrograph peak, depending on the dam and
11	impacts.	catchment contributing to the dam relative to the overall cathcment

12	the Xu and Zhang formation time equations are based on times that include the initiation time in many cases, therefore they overestimate the formation time (or at the very least, not comparable to estimates from Froehlich's equations or others). What is the impact and potential error of including the Xu and Zhang equations in the data fusion approach?	yes, there are a level of unceratainities in Xu Zhang however it is still one of the most used/applied/cited equation even used in HEC-RAS. In Data-Fusion equation, while the equation has been selected the derived regressions calibration/validation has ensured that the embedded uncertainties are applied in form of applied weights. It is very important to have at least one emprical equation whihc considred geotehcnical component whihc can help on cases like additional saftey or well compacted dams etc
		I think that there may be issues with the breach time database in Xu and Zhang, with an apparent mixing of breach initiation times, breach formation
13	comparable to estimates from Froehlich's equations or others). What is the impact and potential error of including the Xu and Zhang equations in the data fusion approach?	times, and total failure times used to derive the equations. This was highlighted in a USBR investigation report in 2014 (number Hydraulic Laboratory Report HL-2014-02).
	Is there any relationship between peak flows estimated for various levels of risk and inundation areas that needs to be	There is no set araea or downstream extent that should be modelled. It is a function of the breach hydrograph volume and the storage volume in the downstream floodplain. Some guideline documents suggest indicative downstream modelled extents, but it generally should be reviewed case by
14	mapped due to overtopping floods or piping failures? Hi, If we have a cascade dam system, how are the parameters of the downstream dams estimated? What are the factors considered?	case. The failure hydrograph from the upstream dam is used as an additional inflow hydrograph to the downstream dam, from there, the same process is applicable
	How could we modify the results of the existing Dam Breach Framework by applying Sensitivity analysis to breach	
16	parameters ?	l awnser but I'm not sure if you can see my awnser.
17	I note there has been no mention of physically based models where hydraulic and erosive processes are explicitly modelled (EMBREA, AREBA). How do the empirical / probability models discussed here compare to physically based models?	I briefly did but didn't compare models results. However, the purpose of each modelling is different.
18	If we are using probabilistic models for historic failures and our breach parameters, and if we dont' always have enough data - which proabilistic model is used and how do we know that it's applicable?	-
19	If we are using probabilistic models for historic failures and our breach parameters, and if we dont' always have enough data - which proabilistic model is used and how do we know that it's applicable?	My preference is unifrom random sampling, where parameter ranges are dictated by empirical equations and the ultimate breach parameters are validated against historical dam failure datasets
	Hi Tim, thanks - this is a great preso. Can you give examples of other parameters that may influence outcomes by 'orders of	Simply, fatality rates. They are most strongly influenced by the degree of
20	magnitude' if the dam breach parameters are not?	evacuation which occurs prior to the arrival.
21	Tim, How are you defining breach outcomes (Community risk/Loss of Life) in a consistent manner across Australia? For the work I did in the UK under the national reservoir flood mapping project we use our national property dataset but this level of information is not available consistently across Australia.	Estimating breach outcomes is, in effect, an independent analysis. Guidance on estimating consequences is documented in an ANCOLD guideline document on consequence assessment. The guidline still provides scope for individual assessments. There is no single correct method. The detail to be applied in any assessment can be adjusted as appropriate to achieve the desired level of accuracy. Broadly, life loss is related to the number of individuals residing in the floodplain, but it is not precise. Human behaviour plays a role. In natural floods and some dam break events, the majority of life loss occurs when individuals voluntarily enter the waterway. In a more direct answer to your query, in cases where there is no database, we identify houses individually using aerial imagery. If the region is densely residential, then we estimate at a coarser scale using a combination of census data and block size.
22	What is recommended approach to adjust estimated breach parameters if site constraints limit breach progression?	In most cases, breach sizes will be constrained by the waterway within which they are constructed. This will be accounted for in most models either by limiting the size or by the control which will be effected by the waterway section immediately downstream of the dam.
	Do you suggest some doccument or manual for tailing dams	
23	break? where we have non newtonian liquids!	NM Rana et al

		This is can be a challenging area to assess accurately. Breach times are likely
		to be much longer than for larger dams as the stored water energy in a basin
		is low relative to the embodied energy in the dam embankment. In the case
		of a detention basin, the water storage is a transient feature that limits the
		available time for breach formation also. Modelling assumptions which
		ignore the time for the toe to erode are probably unduly conservative for
		very low height dams.
		Available regression equations from a dam failure database may not
		extrapolate well to the lower end of the range. It seems likely that longer
		breach times and narrow breach widths would ocur for smaller dam heights.
		Fortunately, In the case of very low height dams, the asset tends to sit in the
		very low consequence category range regardless of the adopted parameters,
	Tim, You commented on small dams 0.5m. What would be	so it is not generally necessary to undertake sensitivity analysis. Note that by
	recommended base scenarios to assess sensitivity of outcome	convention, when incremental depths are less than 300 mm, risk to life is
24	where resource for advanced study is not typically available?	typically assessed as negligable.